arXiv:1403.4798v1 [hep-ph] 16 Mar 2014

Nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process in the collision of a photon with two plane waves

Yuan-Bin Wu[1, 2, 3, *] and She-Sheng Xue[1, 2, †]

[1]*Department of physics and ICRA, Sapienza University of Rome, P.le Aldo Moro 5, I-00185 Rome, Italy*
[2]*ICRANet, P.zza della Repubblica 10, I-65122 Pescara, Italy*
[3]*ICRANet, University of Nice-Sophia Antipolis, 28 Av. de Valrose, 06103 Nice Cedex 2, France*

The nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process of electron-positron pair production off a probe photon colliding with a low-frequency and a high-frequency electromagnetic wave that propagate in the same direction is analyzed. We calculate the pair-production probability and the spectra of the created pair in the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler processes of pair production off a probe photon colliding with two plane waves and one plane wave. The differences between these two cases are discussed. We show the highly multi-photon phenomenon that is evident by the electron-positron pair absorbing photons from the low-frequency wave, in addition to one photon from the high-frequency wave. The result presented in this article shows a possible way to access to the observation of this highly multi-photon phenomenon of pair production even with the laser-beam intensity of order 10^{18} W/cm^2.

PACS numbers: 12.20.-m, 12.20.Ds, 13.40-f, 42.50.Hz

I. INTRODUCTION

Owing to recent advanced laser technologies, the intensity of laser beams has been and will be increased several orders of magnitudes in the last decade and in future, it becomes accessible to observe the fundamental phenomena of the quantum electrodynamics (QED) in the nonlinear regime of strong fields [1, 2]. The characteristic scale of strong fields is given by the critical field $E_c = m^2c^3/e\hbar = 1.3\times10^{16}$V/cm, corresponding to the critical intensity $I_c \simeq 4.6\times10^{29}$ W/cm^2 of laser beams. At the critical field, one of these fundamental phenomena are the electron-positron (e^-e^+) pair production from the QED vacuum that was studied by Sauter, Euler and Heisenberg in terms of the Euler-Heisenberg effective Lagrangian [3, 4] and Schwinger in the QED framework [5] (for more details see reviews [6, 7]).

To reach the critical field in ground laboratories, there are many experiments: X-ray free electron laser (XFEL) facilities [8], optical high-intensity laser facilities such as Petawatt laser beam [9] or ELI [10], and SLAC-E-144 experiment using nonlinear Compton scattering [11, 12] (for details see review articles [1, 13]). This leads to the physics of ultra-high intensity laser-matter interactions near the critical field (see, e.g., Refs. [2, 14–17]).

Another nonlinear QED phenomenon whose relevant observations might be more easily accessible in ground laboratories is the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process

$$\gamma' + \bar{n}\gamma \to e^- + e^+. \tag{1}$$

The creation of an e^-e^+ pair in the collision of two real photons $\gamma' + \gamma \to e^- + e^+$ was first considered by Breit and Wheeler [18]. This linear Breit-Wheeler process refers to a perturbative QED process. In the seminal works by Reiss [19] and others [20–24], the generalization of the Breit-Wheeler process to the nonlinear process (1) that e^-e^+ pair production off a probe photon colliding with an intensive monochromatic plane wave was fully analyzed and discussed. They have shown that the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process is a multi-photon process.

In order to create an e^-e^+ pair, the center-of-mass (CM) energy of the scattering photons must be larger than the kinematic energy-threshold $2mc^2 \simeq 1.02$ MeV, where m is the electron mass. The phenomenon of pair production in multi-photon light-by-light scattering of the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process has been detected in the SLAC-E-144 experiment [11, 12]. In this experiment, a laser beam with a intensity of $I \sim 10^{18}$ W/cm^2 and a 527 nm wavelength was applied. The high energy probe photon was created by the backscattering of the laser beam off a high energy electron beam of energy 46.6 GeV. With a laser beam of photon energy 2.35 eV and probe photons with a maximum energy 29.2 GeV, highly nonlinear phenomenon of the Breit-Wheeler multi-photon process was observed [11, 12]. e^-e^+ pair production by real photons of the Breit-Wheeler process is one of most relevant elementary processes in high-energy astrophysics and it would lead to observable effects such as the high-energy γ spectra and its relevant information about the cosmic background radiation (see e.g. Refs. [7, 25, 26]).

The generalization of this nonlinear Breit-Wheeler multi-photon process in a monochromatic plane wave of infinitely long pulse to the case of a finite pulse has been investigated in Refs. [27–31], considering the fact that the upcoming intensive optical laser beams are expected to be very short with only a few oscillations of the electromagnetic field in their pulses. It has been shown that the pulse shape and the pulse duration have a variety of effects on the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process [27–31] such as the enhancement of the pair-production rate in the subthreshold region [28] and the carrier-envelope phase effects on the distribution of created pairs [30].

The approach of using two electromagnetic waves and multiple colliding electromagnetic waves would be the future direction of studying the fundamental QED phenomena in the nonlinear regime of strong fields, due to its various advantages such as the enhancement of the pair-production rate (see e.g. Refs. [2, 32, 33]). As proposed in Refs. [32, 34], the Schwinger mechanism of vacuum pair production is cat-

*Electronic address: wuyb@icranet.org
†Electronic address: xue@icra.it

alyzed by superimposing a high-frequency beam with a strong low-frequency laser pulse. With a similar idea, tunneling e^-e^+ pair creation was shown to be observable with the already available technology, in a setup in which a strong low-frequency and a weak high-frequency laser field collide head-on with a relativistic nucleus [35]. This pair-production mechanism can be described by the absorption of one photon from the high-frequency laser field and several additional photons the low-frequency laser field by the pair [35].

In this article, we study the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process in the scenario of a probe photon colliding with two electromagnetic waves. Pair production off a probe photon colliding with two monochromatic plane waves and electroweak processes in two monochromatic plane wave fields were considered for the first time in Refs. [36–38]. In this article, we focus on the highly multi-photon nonlinear phenomenon of the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process in the case of a probe photon γ' colliding with a low-frequency and a high-frequency electromagnetic wave that propagate in the same direction. The results obtained are compared and contrasted with the results obtained in the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process for the case of the probe photon colliding with one electromagnetic wave only.

The article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the basic formalism of the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process in the case of the collision of a probe photon with two electromagnetic waves. In Sec. III, we present our detailed discussions on the basis of the numerical analysis of the highly multi-photon phenomenon of a photon colliding with a low-frequency and a high-frequency electromagnetic wave that propagate in the same direction. Summary and some remarks are given in Sec. IV. The use of units with $\hbar = c = 1$ is throughout the article.

II. BASIC FORMALISM FOR THE PAIR-PRODUCTION PROBABILITY

In this article, we adopt the vector potential of electromagnetic fields as a superposition of two monochromatic plane waves A^μ and B^μ with their wave-vectors k and κ ($k \neq \kappa$) :

$$V^\mu = A^\mu(\varphi) + B^\mu(\chi), \tag{2}$$

where phases $\varphi = k\cdot x$, $\chi = \kappa\cdot x$. We consider the case of two waves propagating in the same direction, satisfying the conditions $k\cdot\kappa = 0$, $k\cdot B = 0$, $\kappa\cdot A = 0$, and $A\cdot B = 0$. More specifically, we assume the vector potentials of the two plane waves to be

$$A^\mu = a^\mu \cos\varphi;\ k^\mu = (\omega_1,0,0,\omega_1);\ a^\mu = |a|(0,1,0,0), \tag{3}$$
$$B^\mu = b^\mu \cos\chi_b;\ \kappa^\mu = (\omega_2,0,0,\omega_2);\ b^\mu = |b|(0,0,1,0), \tag{4}$$

where $\chi_b \equiv \chi + \delta$, δ is the phase shift, and ω_1 and ω_2 are the frequencies of the two plane waves.

Similar to the calculations of the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process (1) of a probe photon colliding with an electromagnetic wave [20–24], we study the probability of e^-e^+ pair production off a probe photon colliding with two plane waves

$$\gamma' + \bar{n}_1\gamma_1 + \bar{n}_2\gamma_2 \to e^+ + e^-, \tag{5}$$

by using the Volkov solutions of the Dirac equation in two plane waves to calculate the scattering amplitude in the Furry picture of QED. In the Furry picture, the S matrix element of the scattering amplitude in the tree level for this process (5) is expressed as

$$S_{fi} = -\mathbf{i}e\int d^4x \bar{\psi}^{e^-}_{p_1\sigma_1}\frac{e^{-\mathbf{i}k'\cdot x}}{\sqrt{2k'_0}}\not{\varepsilon}\,\psi^{e^+}_{p_2\sigma_2}, \tag{6}$$

where ε is the polarization of the probe photon and k' is its momentum. $\psi^{e^-}_{p_1\sigma_1}$ and $\psi^{e^+}_{p_2\sigma_2}$ are the wave functions of the outgoing electron and positron in two plane waves. p_1 and p_2 are the momenta of the electron and positron and σ_1 and σ_2 are their spins, respectively. $\mathbf{i}$ represents the imaginary unit. We also introduce the invariant parameters of the electromagnetic fields as $\xi_1 = |e||a|/m$, $\zeta_1 = (k\cdot k')\xi_1/m^2$, $\xi_2 = |e||b|/m$, and $\zeta_2 = (\kappa\cdot k')\xi_2/m^2$, with m being the mass of electrons.

The solutions of the Dirac equation in a background plane wave were found by Volkov in 1935 [39, 40]. Following the similar method, one can obtain the solutions of the Dirac equation in two background plane waves [36–38, 41]. In the case of the fields with the vector potential of Eqs. (2)-(4), the solution of the Dirac equation for electron is

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{e^-}_{p_1\sigma_1} &= \left[1+\frac{e\not{k}\not{A}}{2(k\cdot p_1)}+\frac{e\not{\kappa}\not{B}}{2(\kappa\cdot p_1)}\right]u_{p_1\sigma_1}e^{-\mathbf{i}q_1\cdot x}\\ &\times\ \exp\left[-\mathbf{i}\frac{e(p_1\cdot a)}{(k\cdot p_1)}\sin\varphi+\mathbf{i}\frac{e^2a^2}{8(k\cdot p_1)}\sin 2\varphi\right]\\ &\times\ \exp\left[-\mathbf{i}\frac{e(p_1\cdot b)}{(\kappa\cdot p_1)}\sin\chi_b+\mathbf{i}\frac{e^2b^2}{8(\kappa\cdot p_1)}\sin 2\chi_b\right].\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The wave function of the positron $\psi^{e^+}_{p_2\sigma_2}$ can be obtained from Eq. (7) by the substitutions of $p_1 \to p_2$, $q_1 \to q_2$, and $u_{p_1\sigma_1} \to v_{p_2\sigma_2}$, where $u_{p_1\sigma_1}$ and $v_{p_2\sigma_2}$ are respectively the spinor of a free electron and a free positron. The effective momenta and mass are

$$q_i^\mu = p_i^\mu - \frac{e^2a^2}{4(k\cdot p_i)}k^\mu - \frac{e^2b^2}{4(\kappa\cdot p_i)}\kappa^\mu, \quad (i=1,2,) \tag{8}$$
$$m_*^2 = m^2 - \frac{e^2a^2}{2} - \frac{e^2b^2}{2}. \tag{9}$$

In order to calculate the pair-production probability, it was introduced the following Fourier series [20, 24]

$$\cos^s\varphi e^{\mathbf{i}(-\alpha_1\sin\varphi+\beta_1\sin 2\varphi)} = \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty}\mathscr{A}_s(n_1\alpha_1\beta_1)e^{-\mathbf{i}n_1\varphi}, \tag{10}$$

$$\cos^s\chi_b e^{\mathbf{i}(-\alpha_2\sin\chi_b+\beta_2\sin 2\chi_b)} = \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}\mathscr{B}_s(n_2\alpha_2\beta_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}n_2\chi_b}, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = e\left[\frac{p_2\cdot a}{k\cdot p_2}-\frac{p_1\cdot a}{k\cdot p_1}\right];\ \beta_1 = -\frac{e^2a^2}{8(k\cdot p_1)}-\frac{e^2a^2}{8(k\cdot p_2)}. \tag{12}$$

By the substitutions of $a \to b$ and $k \to \kappa$, α_2 and β_2 can be obtained from α_1 and β_1 of Eq. (12), respectively. $\mathscr{A}_s(n_1\alpha_1\beta_1)$ and $\mathscr{B}_s(n_2\alpha_2\beta_2)$ are expressed by Bessel functions and obey the following relations [20, 24]

$$(n_1 - 2\beta_1)\mathscr{A}_0 - \alpha_1\mathscr{A}_1 + 4\beta_1\mathscr{A}_2 = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$(n_2 - 2\beta_2)\mathscr{B}_0 - \alpha_2\mathscr{B}_1 + 4\beta_2\mathscr{B}_2 = 0, \quad (14)$$

where we introduce the notation $\mathscr{A}_s := \mathscr{A}_s(n_1\alpha_1\beta_1)$ and $\mathscr{B}_s := \mathscr{B}_s(n_2\alpha_2\beta_2)$.

Following the approach presented in Ref. [24], with the help of Eqs. (7)-(14), we calculate the pair-production probability (W) per unit volume and per unit time for the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process (5) of pair production off a probe photon colliding with the two plane waves with the vector potential of Eqs. (2)-(4) by squaring the S matrix element (6), summing over the polarizations of the outgoing electron and positron, averaging over the polarizations of the probe photon, and integrating over the final states $d^3q_1 d^3q_2(2\pi)^{-6}$ of the positron and the electron,

$$W = \frac{e^2 n_\gamma}{16\pi^2 k_0'} \sum_{n_1,n_2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_1^{u_s} \frac{du}{u\sqrt{u(u-1)}} \mathscr{M}(n_1,n_2), \quad (15)$$

where $u = (k\cdot k')^2/4(k\cdot q_1)(k\cdot q_2)$ and ϕ is the angle between the $(\vec{k}, \vec{q}_1)$ and $(\vec{k}, \vec{a})$ planes in a system in which $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{k}'$ are oppositely directed. In the probability (15), n_γ is the average density of the probe photon beam and $\mathscr{M}(n_1,n_2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{M}(n_1,n_2) &= m^2\mathscr{A}_0^2\mathscr{B}_0^2 \\ &+ e^2a^2\left[1 - \frac{(k\cdot k')^2}{2(k\cdot p_1)(k\cdot p_2)}\right](\mathscr{A}_1^2\mathscr{B}_0^2 - \mathscr{A}_0\mathscr{A}_2\mathscr{B}_0^2) \\ &+ e^2b^2\left[1 - \frac{(\kappa\cdot k')^2}{2(\kappa\cdot p_1)(\kappa\cdot p_2)}\right](\mathscr{A}_0^2\mathscr{B}_1^2 - \mathscr{A}_0^2\mathscr{B}_0\mathscr{B}_2). \quad (16)\end{aligned}$$

In addition, the maximum value for u in Eq. (15) is

$$u_s = \frac{n_1(k\cdot k') + n_2(\kappa\cdot k')}{2m_*^2}. \quad (17)$$

The numbers n_1 and n_2 of photons absorbed from the waves by the pair need to exceed the threshold value

$$n_1(k\cdot k') + n_2(\kappa\cdot k') > 2m_*^2. \quad (18)$$

The summation of n_1 and n_2 in Eq. (15) must satisfy this condition (18). Each n_1 and n_2 in the probability (15) corresponds to a four-quasimomentum conservation law

$$n_1 k + n_2\kappa + k' = q_1 + q_2. \quad (19)$$

In the case that one of the two plane waves is absent, the pair-production probability (15) reduces to the result of the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process (1) in one plane wave obtained by Refs. [20, 24]. More specifically, for the case of $|b| = 0$, we obtain the pair-production probability

$$W = \frac{e^2 m^2 n_\gamma}{16\pi^2 k_0'} \sum_{n_1 > n_0} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_1^{u_{s_1}} \frac{du}{u\sqrt{u(u-1)}} \mathscr{M}_a(n_1), \quad (20)$$

with

$$\mathscr{M}_a(n_1) = m^2\mathscr{A}_0^2 + e^2a^2\left[1 - \frac{(k\cdot k')^2}{2(k\cdot p_1)(k\cdot p_2)}\right](\mathscr{A}_1^2 - \mathscr{A}_0\mathscr{A}_2), \quad (21)$$

the threshold value $n_0 = 2m_*^2/(k\cdot k')$, and the maximum value $u_{s_1} = n_1(k\cdot k')/2m_*^2$. The effective mass m_* in this case is given by Eq. (9) by setting $b^2 = 0$. Using these formulas (20)-(21), Refs. [20, 24] studied the pair production off a probe photon colliding with a monochromatic plane wave in the cases of $\xi_1 \gg 1$ and $\xi_1 \ll 1$. Their results showed that (i) in the case $\xi_1 \ll 1$, the process yields to the perturbation process as considered firstly by Breit and Wheeler; (ii) in the case $\xi_1 \gg 1$, the process yields to the case of a constant crossed field [20, 24].

After having obtained the probability (15)-(16) of pair production off a probe photon colliding with two monochromatic plane waves, we occasionally find the similar study in Ref. [36], where the pair production was numerically calculated for the case that the invariant parameters ξ_1, ζ_1, ξ_2, and ζ_2 are of the order of unity. In this article we use Eq. (15)-(16) to present an analysis of the highly multi-photon phenomenon of this process (5) in the case of $\omega_2 \gg \omega_1$. We select the parameters of the electromagnetic fields closely to the values used in the SLAC-E-144 experiment [11, 12]. We calculate the pair-production probability and the spectra of the created pair in this process. For the purpose of necessary comparisons, we also present the same analysis of the one plane-wave process (1) (i.e., one of the two plane waves is absent). As a result, we quantitatively compare and contrast the multi-photon phenomenon in two plane-wave process (5) and one plane-wave process (1).

III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

A. Plane-wave and probe photon fields

Based on the high-energy photons and technology for laser beams used in the SLAC-E-144 experiment, we consider the following parameters for high-energy photons and electromagnetic plane waves. The intensities of the electromagnetic fields are selected to be $I_1 = I_2 = 10^{18}$ W/cm^2. The intensity I_i ($i = 1,2$) of the electromagnetic field is related to the field strength parameter ξ_i by

$$\xi_i^2 \approx 1.13 \times 10^{-18}[\omega_i(\mathrm{eV})]^{-2} I_i(\mathrm{W/cm^2}). \quad (22)$$

For an electromagnetic field with intensity $I = 10^{18}$ W/cm^2 and frequency $\omega \sim 1$ eV (the optical regime), the field strength parameter ξ is of the order of unity. Also we set $\omega_2 = 100\ \omega_1$ throughout the following calculations.

One of the purposes in this article is to study the difference between the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process of pair production off a probe photon colliding with two plane waves and the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process of pair production off a probe photon colliding with one plane wave. For the sake of comparison, we choose the energy of the probe photon so that

the probability of pair production off the probe photon colliding with one of these two plane waves is almost the same as the probability of pair production off the probe photon colliding with another plane wave, in the regime of the one used in the SLAC-E-144 experiment ($\sim$ 30GeV). This means that for each value of ξ_1 (ω_1) we have a corresponding value of k_0'. In Fig. 1, we show the pair-production probability W in the case of a probe photon colliding with two plane waves for different values of the frequency ω_1 and the pair-production probability W in the case of the probe photon colliding with each of these two plane waves.



FIG. 1: (Color online) The pair-production probability W is calculated for selected values of the frequency ω_1. We normalize the probability W by $10^{-6}n_\gamma$ eV, the average density n_γ of the probe photon beam is in units of $(\text{eV})^3$ and the frequency ω_1 is in units of eV. We show the results in the cases: (i) a probe photon colliding with two plane waves; (ii) the probe photon colliding with each of these two plane waves. Here we choose that the frequencies $\omega_2 = 100\ \omega_1$ and the intensities of the fields are $I_1 = I_2 = 10^{18}$ W/cm^2. For each value of ω_1, the energy of the corresponding probe photon ($\sim$ 30GeV) is selected to make the probability of pair production off the probe photon colliding with one of the two plane waves and the probability of pair production off the probe photon colliding with another plane wave to be almost the same (see the overlapping of full circles and squares).

B. Two and one plane-wave cases

As shown in Fig. 1, the pair-production probability (we denote here as W_t) in the case of a probe photon colliding with two plane waves is lager than its corresponding probabilities (W_a and W_b, $W_a \approx W_b$) in the case of the probe photon colliding with each of these two plane waves, but slightly smaller than the sum of these two probabilities ($W_a + W_b$), i.e.,

$$(W_a + W_b) > W_t > W_{a,b}. \tag{23}$$

Here we introduce the notations $W_a = W|_{|b|=0}$ and $W_b = W|_{|a|=0}$ for the probability of pair creation off the probe photon colliding with each of these two plane waves respectively, which are calculated by Eqs. (20)-(21). This result can be understood from the point that the effective masses (9) of electrons and positrons in the two plane waves are larger than the effective masses of electrons and positrons in one of these two plane waves. Therefore in the case of two plane waves, the contribution to the pair-production probability from each plane wave is suppressed by the large effective mass, especially for the high-frequency wave field. This leads to the result that $W_t < (W_a + W_b)$. We will give some further discussions below.



FIG. 2: (Color online) The normalized pair-production probability $W_1(n_1)$ is plotted as a function of n_1. The normalized pair-production probability $W_{ar}(n_1)$ for the case of one plane-wave ($|b| = 0$) and $W_0(n_1)$ are plotted in the inserted figure. (a) $\omega_1 = 2.5$ eV, (b) $\omega_1 = 4$ eV, and (c) $\omega_1 = 4.5$ eV. Here we use the same parameters of the plane waves and probe photons as the ones used in Fig. 1.

C. The highly multi-photon phenomenon

In order to analyze the highly multi-photon phenomenon in this nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process (5), we study the pair-production probability for the process with the given n_1 and n_2 of the numbers of photons absorbed by the pair from the two plane waves,

$$W_t(n_1,n_2) = \frac{e^2 n_\gamma}{16\pi^2 k_0'} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_1^{u_s} \frac{du}{u\sqrt{u(u-1)}} \mathscr{M}(n_1,n_2). \tag{24}$$

We define the normalized pair-production probability for the process with the given n_1 and n_2 for the following discussions as

$$W_{n_2}(n_1) = W_t(n_1,n_2)/W_t(n_1^{\rm thre}(n_2),n_2), \tag{25}$$

where $n_1^{\rm thre}(n_2)$ is the threshold value of n_1 determined by Eq. (18) for a fixed value of n_2. For a comparison with the case of one plane wave ($|b|=0$), analogously to Eq. (25), we also define the normalized pair-production probability for the process with a given n_1 in the case when the high-frequency wave is absent ($|b|=0$) as

$$W_{ar}(n_1) = W_a(n_1)/W_a(n_0), \tag{26}$$

where $W_a(n_1)$ is obtained from Eq. (20)

$$W_a(n_1) = \frac{e^2 n_\gamma}{16\pi^2 k_0'}\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_1^{u_{s1}} \frac{du}{u\sqrt{u(u-1)}}\mathscr{M}_a(n_1). \tag{27}$$

The results of $W_0(n_1)$, $W_1(n_1)$, and $W_{ar}(n_1)$ for the cases of $\omega_1 = 2.5$ eV, 4 eV, and 4.5 eV are shown in Fig. 2. We show these results only for the two lowest numbers ($n_2 = 0,1$) of photons absorbed by the pair from the high-frequency wave field, for the reason that ξ_2 under consideration is very small ($\xi_2 \ll 1$) and therefore the contributions from $n_2 > 1$ are very small. This is in agreement with the result of Refs. [20, 24].

It is shown in Fig. 2 that $W_0(n_1)$ is rather close to $W_{ar}(n_1)$ for each selected value of ω_1. This can be understood as follows. In our calculations for $\xi_1 \gg \xi_2$, the effects of the high-frequency wave on the wave function of electrons and positrons such as the spin correction, phase correction, and effective mass are small, compared to the effects of the low-frequency wave. In this regard, the influence of the high-frequency wave on the pair is very small for the process in which there is no photon absorbed from the high-frequency wave. More explicitly, this can be seen from the expression of $\mathscr{B}_s$ in Refs. [20, 24] and the properties of the Bessel functions (see e.g. Ref. [42]) that $\mathscr{B}_0|_{n_2=0} \sim 1$, $\mathscr{B}_1|_{n_2=0} \sim 0$, and $\mathscr{B}_2|_{n_2=0} \sim 1/2$, for the parameters of the plane waves we use here. As a result, it is shown from Eqs. (24) and (27) that $W_0(n_1)$ is close to $W_{ar}(n_1)$.

In Fig. 2, $W_{ar}(n_1)$ decreases rapidly, as the value of n_1 increases. This result is in agreement with the discussion in Refs. [20, 24] for the field strength parameter $\xi_1 < 1$ case. In this case, the main contributions to the probability of pair production off a probe photon colliding with one monochromatic plane wave are from the processes that the number of photons absorbed by pair from the wave is near to the threshold value when the field strength parameter $\xi_1 < 1$. On the contrary, $W_1(n_1)$ shows highly multi-photon phenomenon. As shown in Fig. 2, $W_1(n_1)$ has a significant value even when the absorbed photon number n_1 is around 20. The absorption of one photon from the high-frequency wave enhances the multi-photon processes of the low-frequency wave. It is also shown in Fig. 2 that when increasing the frequency ω_1, the multi-photon phenomenon of $W_1(n_1)$ is suppressed, consistently with the discussions [20, 24] that the multi-photon phenomenon dominantly depends on the field strength parameters ξ_1 and ξ_2. When the frequencies increase and the intensities do not change, ξ_1 and ξ_2 decrease, leading to a lower multi-photon phenomenon.

In addition, we want to mention that as shown in Fig. 2(a) for the case $\omega_1 = 2.5$ eV, $W_{ar}(n_1)$ first increases and then decreases, as the n_1 increases. This is mainly because the selected value of ζ_1 in this case leads to a threshold value n_0 very close to 3. As a result, the phase space in the integration of Eq. (27) for the case $n_1 = 3$ is rather small compared to the one of the case $n_1 = 4$. This explains the results of $n_1 = 3$ and $n_1 = 4$ shown in Fig. 2(a).



FIG. 3: (Color online) The differential pair-production probability dW/dq_p is normalized by $10^{-7}n_\gamma$ eV. We plot it in terms of the transverse component q_p. Here we use the same parameters of the plane waves and probe photons adopted in Fig. 1 for $\omega_1 = 4$ eV ($\omega_1 = 4$ eV and $k_0' \approx 26.4$ GeV). (a): dW/dq_p for the one-wave case of $|b| = 0$. (b): dW/dq_p for the one-wave case of $|a| = 0$. (c) dW/dq_p for the case of two waves. (d) detailed results of dW/dq_p for the case of two waves.

D. The pair spectrum

Now we turn to study the spectra of the e^- e^+ pair to give a further understanding and consequence of the highly

multi-photon phenomenon shown in Fig. 2. From the four-quasimomentum conservation law (19) and the definition of the invariant variable u, we obtain the transverse component $|q_\perp|$ of $\vec{q}_1$ and $\vec{q}_2$, that are perpendicular to $\vec{k}$ ($\vec{k}$ and $\vec{k}'$ being oppositely directed),

$$|q_\perp| = m_* \sqrt{\frac{u_s}{u} - 1}. \tag{28}$$

Introducing the dimensionless transverse momentum $q_p \equiv |q_\perp|/m$, from Eq. (15) we obtain the differential pair-production probability,

$$\frac{dW}{dq_p} = \sum_{n_1,n_2} \frac{dW_{n_1 n_2}}{dq_p}, \tag{29}$$

and the differential pair-production probability of the process with the given n_1 and n_2

$$\frac{dW_{n_1 n_2}}{dq_p} = \frac{2uq_p}{(m_*/m)^2 u_s \sqrt{u(u-1)}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \mathscr{M}(n_1, n_2). \tag{30}$$

From Eq. (20), we also obtain the differential pair-production probability for the case when the high-frequency wave is absent ($|b| = 0$)

$$\frac{dW}{dq_p} = \sum_{n_1} \frac{dW_a(n_1)}{dq_p}, \tag{31}$$

where the differential pair-production probability $dW_a(n_1)/dq_p$ of a given n_1 can be obtained form Eq. (30) by $\mathscr{M}(n_1, n_2) \to \mathscr{M}_a(n_1)$ and $u_s \to u_{s1}$ of Eqs. (16) and (17). In the case when the low-frequency plane wave is absent ($|a| = 0$), the differential pair-production probability dW/dq_p can be obtained from Eqs. (21) and (31) by the substitutions of $a \to b$, $k \to \kappa$, $n_1 \to n_2$, and $\mathscr{A}_s \to \mathscr{B}_s$.

Fig. 3 shows the differential pair-production probability dW/dq_p for both one wave and two wave cases. Eq. (28) indicates that the transverse component q_p has a maximal value q_p^{max} for the process with the given n_1 and n_2 of the numbers of photons absorbed by the pair from the waves,

$$q_p^{max}(n_1, n_2) = \frac{m_*}{m} \sqrt{u_s - 1} \tag{32}$$

for the two-wave case and

$$q_p^{max}(n_1) = \frac{m_*}{m} \sqrt{u_{s1} - 1} \tag{33}$$

for the case when the high-frequency wave is absent ($|b| = 0$). The maximal value $q_p^{max}(n_2)$ of transverse component q_p for the case when the low-frequency plane wave is absent ($|a| = 0$) can be obtained from Eq. (33) and (31) by the substitutions of $a \to b$, $k \to \kappa$, and $n_1 \to n_2$. The existence of q_p^{max} is due to the four-quasimomentum conservation (19). In Fig. 3, it is shown that corresponding to the contributions from the processes with different numbers of photons absorbed by the pair, the probability dW/dq_p has different peaks locating around $q_p \sim q_p^{max}$, and sharply decreases at the q_p^{max}.

As shown in Fig. 3(a) for the presence of the low-frequency wave only, the differential pair-production probability practically vanishes after $n_1 = 4$, which corresponds to the maximal value $q_p^{max}(n_1)|_{n_1=4} \approx 0.8$. Due to the fact that the field strength parameter $\xi_2 \ll 1$, only the lowest order ($n_2 = 1$) term has a significant contribution to the pair-production probability for the case when the low-frequency wave field is absent ($|a| = 0$), as we have discussed above in Fig. 2. The pair creation process in this case yields to the perturbation process as considered by Breit and Wheeler [18]. Its consequent result on the differential pair-production probability is clearly shown in Fig. 3(b) that when q_p is larger than the maximal value $q_p^{max}(n_2)|_{n_2=1} \approx 6.25$ for $n_2 = 1$, the differential probability sharply decreases to zero.



FIG. 4: (Color online) The differential pair-production probability $dW_{n_1 n_2}/dq_p$ for selected values of n_1 and n_2 of the numbers of photons absorbed by the pair from the waves is normalized by $10^{-8} n_\gamma$ eV. We plot it in terms of q_p for selecting values $n_1 = 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10$ with $n_2 = 1$. Here we use the same parameters of the plane waves and probe photons adopted in Fig. 1 for $\omega_1 = 4$ eV ($\omega_1 = 4$ eV and $k_0' \approx 26.4$ GeV).

Compared the results presented in Fig. 3(a) and (b) for the case of one wave, the multi-peaks structure of the differential pair-production probability dW/dq_p presented in Fig. 3(c) and (d) for the case of two waves clearly shows the highly multi-photon phenomenon. As shown in the inserted figure of Fig. 3(d), the multi-peaks of dW/dq_p locating at different values $q_p^{max}(n_1, n_2)$ indicates the multi-photon processes that the pair has absorbed photons of different numbers (n_1) from the low-frequency wave and one additional photon ($n_2 = 1$) from the high-frequency wave. In Fig. 4, we plot $dW_{n_1 n_2}/dq_p$ the detailed spectra of the created e^- e^+ pair, absorbing different numbers (n_1) of photons from the low-frequency wave and one additional photon ($n_2 = 1$) from the high-frequency wave. Due to the limit of the plotting scale, we only show the results of $n_1 = 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10$ in Fig. 4. We can learn from Fig. 4 how the multi-photon processes contribute to the total differential pair-production probability dW/dq_p in Fig. 3. The multi-peaks structure of the total differential pair-production probability dW/dq_p presented in the inserted figure of Fig. 3(d) is due to the different peaks of the pair spectra

after $q_p > 6.2$, which correspond to the contributions from the processes with different numbers $(n_1 = 1,2,4,6,8,10)$ of photons absorbed by the pair from the low-frequency wave. In addition, the smooth oscillating structure of $dW_{n_1n_2}/dq_p$ for $q_p \lesssim 6$ in Fig. 4 indicates the interference effect of the two waves on the phase of the wave function of the pair (7). The results presented in Figs. 3 and 4 provide a possible way to access to the highly multi-photon phenomenon of pair production off a probe photon colliding with both low-frequency and high-frequency waves, even for the case of the field strength parameter $\xi_{1,2} < 1$.

In addition, we want to point out here that as shown in Figs. 3 and 4, the spectra $dW_{n_1n_2}/dq_p$ become large values around the maximal values $q_p^{max}(n_1,n_2)$ for fixed values of (n_1,n_2) of the numbers of photons absorbed from the waves. That is mainly due to the factor $1/\sqrt{u(u-1)}$ in the pair-production probability of Eqs. (15), (20) and (30). The integrations of Eqs. (15) and (20) over spectra dW/dq_p are finite, i.e., the total pair-production probability W is finite.

IV. SUMMARY AND REMARKS

Based on the Volkov solutions of the Dirac equation in two plane waves, we studied the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process of a probe photon colliding with a low-frequency and a high-frequency plane wave that propagate in the same direction. We analyzed the difference between the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler processes of the $e^-\ e^+$ pair production off a probe photon colliding with two plane waves and each of these two plane waves.

The results show that highly multi-photon phenomenon is clearly evident in the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process of pair production off a probe photon colliding with a low-frequency and a high-frequency plane wave. In the case of the electromagnetic plane waves of intensities $I_1 = I_2 = 10^{18}\ \mathrm{W/cm^2}$, the frequency $\omega_1 \sim$ eV, and $\omega_2 = 100\ \omega_1$, i.e. $\xi_1 < 1$ and $\xi_2 \ll 1$, the contributions to the pair-production probability from the processes with a large number n_1 of photons absorbed by the pair from the low-frequency wave and one additional photon $(n_2 = 1)$ absorbed by the pair from the high-frequency wave are still significant large even $n_1 \simeq 20$. As a comparison, in the absence of the high-frequency wave, the contributions to the pair-production probability from the processes can be negligible, when the number of photons absorbed by the pair from the low-frequency wave is larger than 5, i.e., $n_1 > 5$. This indicates that the multi-photon phenomenon cannot be evident in the presence of one plane wave only with field strength parameter $\xi_{1,2} < 1$. This means that the presence of high-frequency wave enhances the contributions of the low-frequency wave to the pair-production probability via the highly multi-photon processes. We present the spectra (multi-peaks structure) of the created $e^-\ e^+$ pair to show the effect of the highly multi-photon phenomenon on the pair production. This effect can be studied by using the already available technology of lasers even for the wave field strength parameter $\xi_1 < 1$.

To end this article, we would like to mention that it would be interesting to make a systematic analysis of the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler processes of $e^-\ e^+$ pair production off a probe photon colliding with two plane wave fields in the large range of parameters ξ_1, ξ_2, ζ_1, and ζ_2 of two plane waves and high-energy photons.

Acknowledgement

Authors thank Prof. Ruffini for his support and encouragement to work on the physics of strong fields. Yuan-Bin Wu is supported by the Erasmus Mundus Joint Doctorate Program by Grant Number 2011-1640 from the EACEA of the European Commission.

[1] G. A. Mourou, T. Tajima, and S. V. Bulanov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 309 (2006) and references therein.
[2] A. Di Piazza, C. Müller, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, and C. H. Keitel, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1177 (2012) and references therein.
[3] F. Sauter, Z. Phys. 69, 742 (1931).
[4] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Z. Phys. 98, 714 (1936); English translation: [physics/0605038].
[5] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82, 664 (1951).
[6] G. V. Dunne, in Ian Kogan Memorial Collection, *From Fields to Strings: Circumnavigating Theoretical Physics*, World Scientific, ISBN: 978-981-238-955-8 and references therein.
[7] R. Ruffini, G. Vereshchagin, and S.-S. Xue, Phys. Rep. 487, 1 (2010) and references therein.
[8] http://www.xfel.eu.
[9] http://laserstars.org/biglasers/pulsed/short/petawatt.html.
[10] http://www.extreme-light-infrastructure.eu/.
[11] D. L. Burke, R. C. Field, G. Horton-Smith *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1626 (1997).
[12] C. Bamber, S. J. Boege, T. Koffas *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 60, 092004 (1999).
[13] Y. I. Salamin, S. X. Hu, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, and C. H. Keitel Phys. Rep. 427, 41 (2006) and references therein.
[14] G. V. Dunne, Eur. Phys. J. D 55, 327 (2009) and references therein.
[15] H. Gies, Eur. Phys. J. D 55, 311 (2009) and references therein.
[16] H. Kleinert and S.-S. Xue, Ann. Phys. 333, 104 (2013).
[17] C. Kohlfürst, H. Gies, and R. Alkofer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 050402 (2014).
[18] G. Breit and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. 46, 1087 (1934).
[19] H. R. Reiss, J. Math. Phys. 3, 59 (1962).
[20] A. I. Nikishov and V. I. Ritus, Sov. Phys. JETP 19, 529 (1964).
[21] A. I. Nikishov and V. I. Ritus, Sov. Phys. JETP 20, 757 (1965).
[22] A. I. Nikishov and V. I. Ritus, Sov. Phys. JETP 25, 1135 (1967).
[23] N. B. Narozhnyi, A. I. Nikishov, and V. I. Ritus, Sov. Phys. JETP 20, 622 (1965).
[24] V. I. Ritus, J. Sov. Laser Res. 6, 497 (1985).
[25] M. G. Hauser and E. Dwek, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astr. 39, 249 (2001).
[26] F. A. Aharonian, Very High Energy Cosmic Gamma Radiation, World Scientific, 2003.
[27] T. Heinzl, A. Ilderton, and M. Marklund, Phys. Lett. B 692, 250 (2010).

[28] A. I. Titov, H. Takabe, B. Kämpfer, and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 240406 (2012).
[29] T. Nousch, D. Seipt, B. Kämpfer, and A. I. Titov, Phys. Lett. B 715, 246 (2012).
[30] K. Krajewska and J. Z. Kamiński, Phys. Rev. A 86, 052104 (2012).
[31] A. I. Titov, B. Kämpfer, H. Takabe, and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. A 87, 042106 (2013).
[32] R. Schützhold, H. Gies, and G. Dunne, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 130404 (2008).
[33] S. S. Bulanov, V. D. Mur, N. B. Narozhny, J. Nees, and V. S. Popov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 220404 (2010).
[34] G. Dunne, H. Gies, and R. Schützhold, Phys. Rev. D 80, 111301(R) (2009).
[35] A. Di Piazza, E. Lötstedt, A. I. Milstein, and C. H. Keitel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 170403 (2009).
[36] V. A. Lyul'ka, Sov. Phys. JETP 40, 815 (1974).
[37] V. A. Lyul'ka, Sov. Phys. JETP 45, 452 (1977).
[38] V. A. Lyul'ka, Sov. Phys. JETP 42, 408 (1975).
[39] D. M. Volkov, Z. Phys. 94, 250 (1935).
[40] V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz, and V. B. Pitaevskii, Quantum Electrodynamics, Pergamon Press, New York, 1982.
[41] M. Pardy, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 45, 663 (2006).
[42] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover, New York, 1965.